



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/370,358	08/09/1999	LARRY A. SKLAR	UNM-MC146-UT	7997

28156 7590 07/28/2005

COLEMAN SUDOL SAPONE, P.C.
714 COLORADO AVENUE
BRIDGE PORT, CT 06605-1601

EXAMINER


BRANNOCK, MICHAEL T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1649

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/370,358		Applicant(s) SKLAR ET AL.	
	Examiner Michael Brannock		Art Unit 1649	
	All Participants: (1) <u>Michael Brannock.</u> (2) <u>Henry Coleman.</u> (3) _____ (4) _____			
Date of Interview: <u>18 July 2005</u>		Status of Application: <u>BPAI Decision - Examiner Reversed</u> Time: <u>11:00</u>		
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 14, 23-47 Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet				
Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="width: 40%; text-align: center;">  _____ (Examiner/SPE Signature) </div> <div style="width: 55%; text-align: center;"> _____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) </div> </div>				

500-

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that cancelation of non-elected claims 24-47 would place the application in condition for allowance. Permission to do so by examiner's amendment was given. The examiner also indicated that claim 14, drawn to a non-elected species, would be rejoined to the elected invention. Additionally, the examiner indicated that non-elected claim 23 more properly belonged with elected Group I and will be rejoined to the elected invention..